



Fig. 3. Trajectories formed from the neural activity recorded on the last day of the experiment. For averaged movements (red dashed lines) to four of the eight targets, the blue lines represent the “neural trajectories” derived from simultaneously recorded neurons (from eight, single trials to each target). These display the potential consistency achievable with real-time neural interpretation.

calculated (see Fig. 1). After performing the PCA, the eigenvectors of this covariance matrix illustrate the patterns that best identify the group activity at any given moment. Once new cortical activity is related to known movements, an instantaneous velocity can be assigned.

Rhesus monkeys, which were implanted with chronic electrode arrays, were trained to perform a three-dimensional (3-D) center-out reaching task in a cubic workspace. All of the randomly obtained, task-related motor cortical neurons identified on the electrode arrays were included in the analysis. This resulted in normalized neural activity from over 30 simultaneously recorded neurons on any given day being grouped to find the temporal patterns of co-activation. A PCA was employed to define these patterns and reduce the data to a handful of unique identifiers. This constituted the calibration process. Every 20 ms, a sliding window of activity from all of the neurons was multiplied by the previously derived eigenvectors. This new set was

compared with the training data in principal component space. The instantaneous velocity from the training data set to which the new data most closely matched was assigned for that moment in time. The velocity used was derived from trajectory data recorded during the training runs. No velocity was given if the pattern matched a point in time from the training data not associated with movement, and therefore a “start” and “stop” signal could be created. Adding these velocities tip-to-tail formed the trajectory.

The system used to access the neural activity and the chronic electrode arrays are available commercially. Recordings from each microwire in the electrode assembly (NB Labs, Denison, TX) are obtained using a JFET buffer amplifier that connects to a multichannel neural recording system (Plexon, Inc., Dallas, TX). The recording system provides channel-selectable, variable gains (up to 30 000X) and bandpass filtering (50–12 000 Hz), before sampling each channel

at 40 000 samples/s. Online spike discrimination is controlled interactively by the user and applies standard techniques of waveform template matching to isolate the neural activity from the lower background noise. The system saves spike waveforms and timestamps to the computer hard drive for all of the channels simultaneously, and can be accessed in real-time using client programs. This architecture has been extended to include online analysis of the cortical signal and will eventually be used to drive the robotic arm. Based on previous work [1]–[6], the system has been designed to derive velocity every 20 ms.

Using the system described above, client programs can be written which can make the necessary calculations to relate the neural activity to a control signal at 50 Hz. To run a robotic arm, an on-off signal, direction, and speed must be derived at every instant in time, and can be related back to the original arm movement for comparison. Over a two-month time period (83 640 time windows of activity analyzed), the system correctly predicted when the hand was in motion 81% of the time—with the most consistent errors occurring at the beginning and end of the movements. Overall, the median angle formed between the true and the derived movements was 22.3° for targets that were separated by a minimum of 60° . Individual whole movements (formed from the integration of the individual velocity vectors) ended closest to the correct target 68.5% of the time (1398 of 2040 trials), allowing the determination of the correct movement solely based on the endpoint. From the day with the most accurate results, 99 out of 200 neural trajectories landed within 3.0 cm of the true endpoint of the hand (located 10 cm from the center of the cube), and 87.5% of the trajectories were closest to the correct target, displaying the potential consistency that can be achieved with simultaneous neural recording. When both the hand was in motion and the system correctly determined a velocity for comparison, the median vector correlation between the true and the derived velocity was 0.82.

Research is being directed at the formation of a real-time control signal to drive a cortical motor prosthesis. Although the accuracy of the current system is limited, it does provide 3-D motion control, deriving direction, speed, and movement initiation and termination, from the firing activity of motor neurons. Using the system described above, the conversion from neuronal activity to movement on a millisecond time-scale is attainable. Sensory feedback allows for learning and cortical remodeling, which should improve the accuracy of the device through visual biofeedback. Once the animal is allowed to interact with the robotic arm as the task is being performed, we expect that the ability to control this device should improve. Therefore, further refinements in technology coupled with the addition of interaction with our device should aid us in accomplishing our goal of an implantable, intracortical BCI.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. B. Schwartz *et al.*, "Primate motor cortex and free arm movements to visual targets in three-dimensional space. I. Relations between single cell discharge and direction of movement," *J. Neurosci.*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 2913–2927, 1988.
- [2] A. P. Georgopoulos *et al.*, "Neuronal population coding of movement direction," *Science*, vol. 233, no. 4771, pp. 1416–1419, 1986.
- [3] A. P. Georgopoulos *et al.*, "Primate motor cortex and free arm movements to visual targets in three-dimensional space. II. Coding of the direction of movement by a neuronal population," *J. Neurosci.*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 2928–2937, 1988.
- [4] A. B. Schwartz *et al.*, "Motor cortical activity during drawing movements: Single-unit activity during sinusoid tracing," *J. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 528–541, 1992.
- [5] A. B. Schwartz *et al.*, "Motor cortical activity during drawing movements: Population representation during sinusoid tracing," *J. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 28–36, 1993.

- [6] A. B. Schwartz *et al.*, "Direct cortical representation of drawing," *Science*, vol. 265, no. 5171, pp. 540–542, 1994.
- [7] S. Lin *et al.*, "Self-organization of firing activities in monkey's motor cortex: Trajectory computation from spike signals," *Neural Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 607–621, 1997.
- [8] S. A. Deadwyler *et al.*, "Ensemble activity and behavior: What's the code?," *Science*, vol. 270, no. 5240, pp. 1316–1318, 1995.
- [9] L. M. Optican and B. J. Richmond, "Temporal encoding of two-dimensional patterns by single-units in primate inferior temporal cortex. III. Information theoretic analysis," *J. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 162–177, 1987.
- [10] B. J. Richmond and L. M. Optican, "Temporal encoding of two-dimensional patterns by single-units in primate inferior temporal cortex. II. Information theoretic analysis," *J. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 147–161, 1987.

Direct Control of a Computer from the Human Central Nervous System

P. R. Kennedy, R. A. E. Bakay, M. M. Moore, K. Adams, and J. Goldwaithe

Abstract—We describe an invasive alternative to externally applied brain-computer interface (BCI) devices. This system requires implantation of a special electrode into the outer layers of the human neocortex. The recorded signals are transmitted to a nearby receiver and processed to drive a cursor on a computer monitor in front of the patient. Our present patient has learned to control the cursor for the production of synthetic speech and typing.

Index Terms—Brain-computer interface (BCI), cortex, locked-in patients, Luman brain implantation, neurotrophic electrode.

I. INTRODUCTION

Patients with locked-in syndrome are alert and cognitively intact, but cannot move or speak. They face a life-long challenge to communicate. They may use eye movements, blinks or remnants of muscle movements to indicate binary yes or no signals. To enhance communication for these patients several devices have been developed including EEG control of a computer. These systems can provide these patients with the ability to spell words as shown by Birbaumer *et al.* [6], and control of hand opening and closing as shown by Peckham and his colleagues [7]. In theory, however, none of these systems can produce the speed and precision that ought to be provided by directly recording neural activity from the human cortex.

Our approach is to implant the human neocortex using the Neurotrophic Electrode that uses trophic factors to encourage growth of neural tissue into the hollow electrode tip that contains two wires [1].

Manuscript received January 18, 2000; revised March 10, 2000. This work was supported by Neural Signals, Inc., and by a grant to Neural Signals, Inc., from the National Institutes of Health, Neural Prostheses Program, SBIR #1R43 NS 36913-1A1.

P. R. Kennedy is with Neural Signals Inc., Atlanta, GA 30341 USA.

R. A. E. Bakay is with the Department of Neurosurgery, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322 USA.

M. M. Moore is with the Department of Computer Sciences, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30380 USA.

K. Adams is with the Neural Signals Inc., Atlanta, GA 30341 USA.

J. Goldwaithe is with the Center for Rehabilitation Technology, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332 USA.

Publisher Item Identifier S 1063-6528(00)04531-6.